FOR ZONING OFFICER USE ONLY: A VARIANCE IS NECESSARY FOR THE PROPOSED	DATE				
PROJECT FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON (S):	MAP/LOT				
	HEARING DATE				
ZONING OFFICER DATE	CASE NUMBER				
APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT TOWN OF LITTLETON, NH	OF LITTON				
ARTICLE SECTION	CO CARICAD CONTRACTOR				
Site Address:	HAMPSHI				
Name of Owner:					
Mailing Address:					
Phone: Email:					
**The owner may designate an agent (relative, surveyor, real estate broker, etc.) to carry out the application process and to whom all related communications may be addressed. Attach a letter stating who will be designated as agent.					
Name of Applicant or Agent:					
Mailing Address of applicant:					
Phone: Email:					
** SIGNATURE OF OWNER:					
** SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR AGENT:					
ZONING DISTRICT OF PROPERTY LOT AR	EA				
CURRENT BUILDINGS OR STRUCTURES ON PROPERTY:					
A VARIANCE IS REQUESTED FROM THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO PERMIT:					

\*\*PERMISSION FOR ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

ON-SITE INSPECTION - INITIAL IN BOX PROVIDED

	NNING BOARD ACTION NEEDED?YES NO BUILDING PERMIT BE REQUIRED IF REQUEST IS APPROVED?YES _ <b></b> NO
>	PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS AS COMPLETELY AS POSSIBLE. FURTHER INFORMATION CONCERNING ANY ONE OF THESE STATEMENTS MAY BE REQUESTED AT THE HEARING. ATTACH A SEPARATE SHEET IS NECESSARY. THE STATEMENTS MUST CONTAIN ADEQUATE INFORMATION FOR THE BOARD TO MAKE INFORMED DECISIONS. THE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT RESERVES THE RIGHT NOT TO ACCEPT THE APPLICATION IF IT DEEMS THE ANSWERS PROVIDED ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE INCOMPLETE.
++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
I.	GRANTING THE VARIANCE WILL NOT BE CONTRARY TO THE PUBLIC INTEREST BECAUSE:
II.	IF THE VARIANCE WERE GRANTED, THE SPIRIT OF THE ORDINANCE WOULD BE OBSERVED BECAUSE:
III. G	GRANTING THE VARIANCE WOULD DO SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE BECAUSE:
IV.	IF THE VARIANCE WERE GRANTED, THE VALUES OF THE SURROUNDING PROPERTIES WOULD NOT BE DIMINISHED BECAUSE:
V.	UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP
A)	Owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship because:  i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because:
	ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because:
B)	Explain how, if the criteria in subparagraph (A) are not established, an unnecessary hardship will be deemed to exist if, and only if, owning to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from the other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.